# **PCT**

ENT COUPERATION TREAT

REC'D 2 6 APR 2004

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Rec'd PCT/PTO 20 AUG 2004

	Applicant's or agent's file reference T3087 (C)			FOR FURTHER ACTION  See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)				
PC	International application No. PCT/EP 03/01465			International filing da 13.02.2003		h/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 28.02.2002	
	International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC C12N15/82							
	Applicant UNILEVER PLC et al.							
1.	<ol> <li>This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>							
2.	2. This REPORT consists of a total of 5 sheets, including this cover sheet.							
	This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).							
	The		nexes consist of a total of			,.	io 1 01).	
3.	This	repor	t contains indications rela	iting to the following	items:			
	l H		Basis of the opinion Priority				·	
	 []]		Non-establishment of op	pinion with regard to	novolty inv	'.	at to about the second	
	IV		Lack of unity of inventior	n man regard to	noveity, inv	entive step an	d industrial applicability	
	V 🗵 Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability citations and explanations supporting such statement			entive step or industrial applicability;				
	VI		Certain documents cited					
	VII		Certain defects in the int	• •		•		
	VIII		Certain observations on	the international app	olication			
Date	Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of this report			
26.09	26.09.2003				23.04.20	. ·		
Name prelim	Name and malling address of the International preliminary examining authority:				Authorized Officer			
European Patent Office - P.B. 5818 Patentlaan NL-2280 HV Rijswijk - Pays Bas Tel. +31 70 340 - 2040 Tx: 31 651 epo nl Fax: +31 70 340 - 3016				Oderwal Telephone	d, H No. +31 70 340	-4274		

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No.

PCT/EP 03/01465

l.	<b>Basis</b>	of the	report
----	--------------	--------	--------

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)):

	De	scription, Pages	
	1-4	15	as originally filed
	Cla	aims, Numbers	
	1-2	21	as originally filed
	Dra	awings, Sheets	
1/11-11/11			as originally filed
S	eque	ence listing part of t	he description, pages:
1-	20,	filed with the letter of	30.04.2003,
2.	Wit lan	h regard to the <b>lang</b> u guage in which the in	lage, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the ternational application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	The	ese elements were av	railable or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:
		the language of a tra	anslation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		the language of pub	lication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
		the language of a tra Rule 55.2 and/or 55.	anslation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under 3).
3.	Witi inte	h regard to any <b>nucl</b> e rnational preliminary	ectide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		contained in the inte	rnational application in written form.
		filed together with th	e international application in computer readable form.
	$\boxtimes$	furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in written form.
	$\boxtimes$	furnished subsequer	ntly to this Authority in computer readable form.
	×	The statement that t in the international a	he subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure pplication as filed has been furnished.
	×	The statement that t listing has been furn	he information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence ished.
1.	The	amendments have re	esulted in the cancellation of:
		the description,	pages:
		the claims,	Nos.:
		the drawings,	sheets:

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PC

PCT/EP 03/01465

5. 🗆	The selection of the se
	been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

- 6. Additional observations, if necessary:
- V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement
- 1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-21

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-21

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

Claims

No:

1-21

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

## **EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

### Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 00 44909 A (DU PONT ;JUNG WOOSUK (US); FADER GARY M (US); MCGONIGLE BRIAN (US)) 3 August 2000 (2000-08-03)

D2: WO 00 53771 A (DIXON RICHARD A ;SAMUEL ROBERTS NOBLE FOUNDATIO (US); STEELE CHRIS) 14 September 2000 (2000-09-14) cited in the application

D3: WO 99 47118 A (PROCTER & GAMBLE (US)) 23 September 1999 (1999-09-23)

D4: WO 99 14351 A (DU PONT ;FADER GARY MICHAEL (US)) 25 March 1999 (1999-03-25)

### **NOVELTY**

- i. The present application relates to the production of daidzein in transgenic plants. The production is achieved by the recombinant expression of the enzymes chalcone reductase (CHR) from Pisum, @ isoflavone synthase (IFS) from Glycine and chalcone isomerase (CHI) from Lotus.
- ii. D1 discloses genetically modified plants that produce daidzein (examples 13 and 14). The plants recombinantly expressed CHR and IFS. Both sequences were isolated from Glycine. The activation of CHI to further trigger the phenylpropanoid pathway has been disclosed. The document further describes plant extracts and uses thereof for the preparation of food and pharmaceuticals.
- D2 discloses genetically modified plants that express IFS from Glycine. iii. The identity of the disclosed nucleotide sequence with the IFS sequence of the present application (SEQ ID NO: 1, from Pisum) was 99,2%. Further disclosed was the production of daidzein by

- recombinant expression of IFS in combination with CHR and CHI, extracts and uses thereof for the preparation of food and pharmaceuticals.
- iv. D3 discloses the use of flavonoids compounds (including daidzein) in cosmetics for the prevention or treatment of skin disorders.
- v. The present application does not satisfy the criterion set forth in Article 33(2) PCT because the subject-matter of claims 1-21 is not new in respect of prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT).

### INVENTIVE STEP

vi. Even if claims 1-21 were rendered novel by e.g. restricting them (see e.g. point vii of the communication), no inventive step could be acknowledged for the following reason: It is generally known to the person skilled in the art that the feature "CHI from Lotus (SEQ ID NO: 5)" is an equivalent to the feature "CHI from Glycine" of document D4 and can be interchanged with that feature where circumstances make it desirable.

### **CLARITY**

vii. The terms "and/or derivatives thereof", "functional equivalents thereof" and "but not restricted to" used in claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 19-21 are vague and unclear and leave the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical features to which they refer, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT).